Analysis of Stock Based Investment Decisions Stock Price Assessment in Property and Companies Real Estate Listed on The Indonesian Stock Exchange

Dita Fatimah Khairani

Universitas Sumatera Utara; ditafatimahk@yahoo.com

| Info Artikel | ABSTRAK | |
|--|---|--|
| <i>Article history:</i> Received November 2023 Revised Januari 2024 Accepted Januari 2024 | Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menentukan apakah berinvestasi di real estat dan sekuritas real estat bermanfaat. Teknik purposive sampling digunakan untuk memilih 32 perusahaan untuk penelitian ini. Analisis dilakukan dengan menggunakan pendekatan Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). Saham dianggap bernilai jika | |
| Kata Kunci: | return individualnya melebihi return ekspektasi (Ri > ERi). Temuan penelitian menunjukkan hal-hal sebagai berikut: (1) Di antara saham- saham perusahaan real estate dan properti, ASRI, BEST, BKSL, BSDE, | |
| investasi Derbasis Sanani, | CTRA, OMRE, dan SMRA merupakan saham-saham yang layak untuk | |

Metode CAPM, Saham Properti dan Real Estate.

Keywords:

Stock Based Investment, CAPM method, Property and Real Estate Stocks.

dijadikan sebagai investasi. Komponen-komponen tersebut memiliki nilai Ri yang lebih besar dari E(Ri) atau [Ri>E(Ri)]. Seorang investor harus merumuskan keputusan investasi untuk membeli sekuritas tersebut. (2) Dua puluh lima saham organisasi kita tidak dapat direalisasikan. Nilai Ri untuk saham-saham yang tidak terealisasi tersebut lebih kecil dari E(Ri) atau [Ri].

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study is to assess the investment viability of real estate and real estate securities. A purpose sampling technique was employed to select 32 companies for the research study. The methodology utilized for the analysis is the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). Shares are considered valuable if their individual return exceeds their expected return (Ri > ERi). The findings of this study indicate that the following seven real estate and real estate company stocks are viable investments: ASRI, BEST, BKSL, BSDE, CTRA, OMRE, and SMRA. These components have Ri values greater than E (Ri) or [Ri>E (Ri)]. An investor is obligated to make the investment decision of purchasing a share. (2) There are 25 shares of our company that cannot be realized.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



Corresponding Author:

Name: Dita Fatimah Khairani Institution: University of Northern Sumatra. Jl. Dr. T. Mansur No. 9, Padang Bulan Campus, Medan, 20155, North Sumatra Email: ditafatimahk@yahoo.com

1. INTRODUCTION

An analysis of share price data from 2016 to 2018 on the property and real estate subsector stocks

listed on the Stock Exchange Indonesian Securities revealed a substantial decline in the value of shares in this industry. This price reduction presents an excellent opportunity to invest in the property and real estate subsector on the stock exchange.

This research considers the phenomenon of fluctuating stock prices in the property and real estate subsector to be a particularly intriguing issue. The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), the Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) method, and relative valuation are common approaches utilized to evaluate stocks. One model that can ascertain the relationship between return and risk that investors will encounter is the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). Arifin (2005) posits that the CAPM elucidates the correlation between systematic risk and the anticipated return on an asset. The linear description of the relationship pattern between the two variables is referred to as the Security Market Line (SML). The CAPM equation is useful for determining whether a stock is valued too high or too low compared to its proper value. The valuation of a stock can be said to be expensive (overvalued) if the expected return is more smaller than the implied return so investors decide to sell shares the

CAPM is well suited for individual stock valuation. The advantages of this model are very simple and easy to understand. The CAPM model is also suitable for inclined investors avoid risks (risk averse). In addition, CAPM uses systematic risk risk) which means risks that cannot be eliminated with a diversification strategy. Research conducted by Nasuha, et al (2013) with a sample of shares property and real estate sector companies, using the CAPM analysis method The results obtained from the estimation show that there are 14 shares out of 19 samples that are classified as shares undervalued and worth investing in.

Hadiati et al (2016) classify efficient and inefficient stocks by using the CAPM method which consists of 15 companies listed on the IDX30 index 2012-2015. The research results show that there are nine efficient stocks and six stock is inefficient. The same case study was also carried out by Septia (2018) where out of 30 IDX30 companies contain 15 efficient companies and 15 inefficient companies

Diasworo (2015) examined stock valuations in pharmaceutical sector companies on the IDX 2009-2013 period using CAPM, the results show 1. All the pharmaceutical companies used as research samples are in a price condition that is not reasonable. The five companies, namely KLBF, TSPC, KAEF, INAF, PYFA, are shares undervalued. This research is in line with that carried out by Aprilia, et al (2016) company mining sector from the 4 stocks used as samples, namely ELSA, PTBA, RUIS, TINS, in an undervalued state. That is, the intrinsic value of shares is greater than the market price until the end of December 2015. Manik (2017) also conducted an Investment Decision Analysis shares based on the Share Price Assessment of Car Companies listed on the IDX For the 2011-2015 period, the research results show that using the CAPM method The results obtained were that in 2011 there were four efficient shares, in 2012 there were three inefficient shares and one efficient share and in 2013 there were three inefficient shares.

Choosing whether shares are suitable or not is an important reference in investing shares in the property and real estate subsector so that large losses do not occur selecting the stock investment. In connection with this, the aim of this research is to find out if property and real estate shares are suitable or not purchased as an investment vehicle using the Capital Asset Pricing Model method (CAPM).

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Analysis and Valuation of Shares

2.1.1 Understanding Investment

According to (Susilo, 2005) "Investment is an activity to postpone current consumption to be included in productive assets for a certain period of time". This is no different from Arifin (2005) who states that investment is the activity of postponing consumption to obtain greater consumption (value) in the future. An investment decision is said to be optimal if it can maximize expected utility. Types of investment can be divided into three groups (Sharpe et.al, 1997), including:

2.1.2 Capital Market

According to Tandelilin (2010) the capital market is a meeting between parties who need funds and parties who need funds by buying and selling securities. A more specific definition of the capital market is activities related to public offerings and securities trading, public companies related to the securities they issue, as well as institutions and professions related to securities.

Some of the benefits of the capital market stated by Darmadji, et al (2011) include:

- 1. Providing a source of financing (long term) for the business world while enabling optimal allocation of funds.
- 2. Providing an investment vehicle for investors while enabling diversification efforts.
- 3. Providing a leading indicator for the country's economic trends.
- 4. Spread of company ownership to the middle class.

2.1.3 Capital Market

In simple terms, shares are defined as proof of ownership of the company that issued them. According to Darmaji, et al (2011) shares are a sign of participation or ownership of a person or legal entity in a company or limited liability company.

The share price is the price that occurs on the stock market at a certain time determined by market players (Hartono 2003). This market value is determined by the demand and supply of the shares in question on the stock exchange market. So, share prices are price formation that occurs in the capital market which is determined by the perpetrators. There are several factors that influence share prices as stated by Brigham, et al (2001), namely:

1) Interest rate or interest rate. 2) Risk and Return. If the risk level of the company's expected profit increases, it will affect the company's share price.

2.1.4 Risk and Return

Risk is the chance that some unfavorable event will occur (Brigham, et al. 2001). When investing, investors must consider return and risk because investment decisions are a trade-off between these two factors. Return and risk have a positive relationship, where the greater the risk, the higher the return.

According to Halim (2005), several types of risk are: 1) Systematic Risk, which is influenced by macro factors that can influence the market as a whole. 2) Unsystematic Risk, is a risk that can be eliminated or reduced by diversifying because this risk only exists in one particular company or industry.

Before carrying out transactions in the capital market, an investor needs to assess shares in addition to the economic conditions and the issuer. Stock assessment aims to avoid the risk of loss that investors will experience when investing in shares. There are three types of stock valuation, including (Walsh, 2003) nominal value, book value and market value.

2.1.5 Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is a widely accepted general equilibrium relationship for asset returns that was independently developed by Sharpe (1964), Lintner (1965), and Mossin (1969). As a result, this model is frequently referred to as the Sharpe-Lintner-Mossin form of CAPM (Jogiyanto 2010). The CAPM forecasts the relationship between the expected rate of return and the risk associated with an asset.

The benefits of CAPM capital (Weston and Copeland, 2004) include:

- 1. Generally used by investors to support the choice of an optimal investment on the capital exchange;
- 2. Provides an intuitive approach to thinking about the level of return an investor should expect on an investment, given a given systematic risk or market risk;
- 3. Helps calculate the undiversified from a single well-diversified portfolio.

CAPM calculates the fair return of a stock or portfolio (required return) according to its risk. The CAPM model is divided into two in its operation, namely market balance in the form of a relationship

between expected return and beta, namely (Weston and Copeland, 2004):



Figure 1. Conceptual Framework for Thought

3. RESEARCH METHODS

The data used in this research is a type of secondary data originating from the Indonesian Stock Exchange. The population used in the object of this research is 58 industrial sector companies in the property and real estate sub-sector, which have gone public or are listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange (BEI). during the observation year from January 1 2020 to December 31 2022. Determining stock returns in this study uses monthly share prices (end of month closing prices) of property and real estate shares on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the period January 2020 - December 2022. Data closing price obtained from https://most.co.id, and https://idnfinancials.com. In this research, the researcher determined the object deliberately (purposive sampling). The research sample selection criteria are as follows:

| Table 1. Research | Sample | Selection | Criteria |
|-------------------|--------|-----------|----------|
|-------------------|--------|-----------|----------|

| | | No. Sample Criteria |
|-------|----------|--|
| | | |
| | 1 | Property and real estate subsector company that is listed and actively carries out |
| | | transactions on the Indonesian Stock Exchange |
| | 2 | Property and real estate subsector companies that are not subject to suspension on the |
| | | Indonesian Stock Exchange |
| | 3 | Property and real estate companies listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange have built |
| | | their business for more than 5 years since the IPO (Initial Public Offering) date. |
| | 4 | Property and real estate companies listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange have |
| | | market capitalization above Rp. 500 Billion. |
| | D | |
| | Base | ed on these selection criteria, there were 32 companies used as research samples listed in |
| Table | e 2 | |
| | Ta | ble 2. List of Names of Property and Real Estate Companies Used as Research Samples |

No. Company Name Stock code

| 1 | PT. Agung Podomoro Land Tbk. | APLN |
|----|----------------------------------|------|
| 2 | PT. Alam Sutera Realty Tbk. | ASRI |
| 3 | PT. Bekasi Fajar Industrial Tbk. | BEST |
| 4 | PT. Sentul City Tbk. | BKSL |
| 5 | PT. Bumi Serpong Damai Tbk. | BSDE |
| 6 | PT. Cowell Development Tbk. | COWL |
| 7 | PT. Ciputra Development Tbk. | CTRA |
| 8 | PT. Duta Anggada Realty Tbk. | DART |
| 9 | PT. Intiland Development Tbk. | DILD |
| 10 | PT. Duta Pertiwi Tbk. | DUTI |
| 11 | PT. Bakrieland Development Tbk. | ELTY |
| 12 | PT. Megapolitan Development | EMDE |
| 13 | PT. Fortune Mate Indonesia Tbk. | FMII |
| 14 | PT. Gading Development Tbk. | GAMA |
| 15 | PT. Gowa Makassar Dev. Tbk | GMTD |
| 16 | PT. Greenwood Sejahtera Tbk. | GWSA |
| 17 | PT. Jaya Real Property Tbk. | JRPT |
| 18 | PT. Kawasan Industri Jababeka | KIJA |
| 19 | PT. Eureka Prima Jakarta Tbk | LCGP |
| 20 | PT. Lippo Cikarang Tbk. | LPKC |
| 21 | PT. Lippo Karawaci Tbk. | LPKR |
| 22 | PT. Modernland Realty Tbk | MDLN |
| 23 | PT. Metropolitan Kentjana Tbk | MKPI |
| 24 | PT. Metropolitan Land Tbk | MTLA |
| 25 | PT. Hanson International Tbk | MYRX |
| 26 | PT. City Retail Development | NIRO |
| 27 | PT. Indonesia Prima Property | OMRE |
| 28 | PT. Plaza Indonesia Realty Tbk | PLIN |
| 29 | PT. Roda Vivatex Tbk | RDTX |
| 30 | PT. Pikko Land Development Tbk | RODA |
| 31 | PT. Suryamas Dutamakmur Tbk | SMDM |
| 32 | PT. Summarecon Agung Tbk | SMRA |

Source: https/idnfinancials.com (data is processed)

The data analysis technique uses the Capital Asset Pricing Model method. The calculations were carried out using the Microsoft Excel program. Analysis of the application of the CAPM method in determining investment is carried out by:

- 1. Collect data on shares included in the Property Index in the period January 2020 December 2022, namely closing price data at the end of the month.
- 2. Calculate Market Return using an equation based on Hartono, 2003:

| Rm – <u>IHSGt–IHSGt–</u> 1 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | IHSGt-1 | | | |
| Rm | : Market returns or market returns IHSGt | | | |
| | : Composite stock price index in period t | | | |
| IHSGt-1 | : Composite stock price index before period t-1 (Beginning) | | | |
| 3. Calculate the Risk Free Rate of Return using an equation based on Hartono (2003): | | | | |
| $Rf = \frac{\sum SBI}{\sum SBI}$ | | | | |
| Σ Periode | | | | |

Rf

Ri Pit

| Σ SBI | : | Total SBI Interest Rate for period |
|--------------|---|------------------------------------|
| tΣ Periode | : | Number of observation periods |

4. Calculating Stock Returns using an equation based on Husnan, (2009):

| $Ri = \underline{Pit-Pit-1}$ |
|------------------------------------|
| Pit-1 |
| : Expected Rate of Stock Profit |
| : Share Prices in a certain period |

Pit-1 : Share Prices for the previous period

5. Find the Beta value of the stock using an equation based on Husnan (2009):

$\beta = Cov (Ri,Rm)$

| Cov (Ri,Rm) | : | Expected Rate of Stock Profit |
|-------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Var (Rm) | : | Share Prices in a certain period |
| β | : | Share Prices for the previous period |
| Rm | : | Market risk |
| Ri | : | Stock risk |

6. Calculate CAPM based on Husnan (2009)

| | | E(Ri) | = | $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{\beta}\mathbf{i}$ (E Rm - Rf) |
|-------|---|-----------|---------|---|
| E(Ri) | : | Expected | d rate | of return |
| Rf | : | Risk free | e inter | est rate (Risk Free) |
| βi | : | Stock Be | ta | |
| E Rm | : | The expe | ected | rate of return of a market index |
| | | | | |

7. Depiction of the Security Market Line

Security Market Line (SML) is a graphical depiction of the CAPM model. SML is a line that connects the expected rate of return [E(Ri)] of a security with systematic risk (β). (Weston and Copeland, 2004).

8. Eligibility Criteria

The eligibility criteria for investing in company shares are as follows:

If Ri > E(Ri) then the decision is to buy securities or investments that are worth buying because the stock return is higher than the expected return. Decent stocks will appear to be above the SML line. Weston and Copeland, (2004).

If Ri < E(Ri) then the decision is to sell the security or the investment is not worth buying because the stock return is lower than the expected return. the inappropriate shares are below the SML line. Weston and Copeland, (2004).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The rate of return on individual shares is the real amount of profit received by investors when investing in shares. The results of calculating individual stock returns from the 32 property and real estate stocks used as research samples are as follows:

| No. | Company Name | Stock code | Ri |
|-----|----------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| 1 | PT. Agung Podomoro Land Tbk. | APLN | -0,017252358 |
| 2 | PT. Alam Sutera Realty Tbk. | ASRI | 0,00108453 |
| 3 | PT. Bekasi Fajar Industrial Tbk. | BEST | -0,002358718 |
| 4 | PT. Sentul City Tbk. | BKSL | 0,029485556 |
| 5 | PT. Bumi Serpong Damai Tbk. | BSDE | -0,007052821 |
| 6 | PT. Cowell Development Tbk. | COWL | 0,000702343 |
| | | | |

Table 3. List of Stock Returns (Ri)

| 7 | PT. Ciputra Development Tbk. | CTRA | -0,006352682 |
|----|------------------------------------|------|--------------|
| 8 | PT. Duta Anggada Realty Tbk. | DART | -0,009377302 |
| 9 | PT. Intiland Development Tbk. | DILD | -0,010458235 |
| 10 | PT. Duta Pertiwi Tbk. | DUTI | -0,002401693 |
| 11 | PT. Bakrieland Development Tbk. | ELTY | 0,002906602 |
| 12 | PT. Megapolitan Development | EMDE | 0,020161754 |
| 13 | PT. Fortune Mate Indonesia Tbk. | FMII | 0,006704258 |
| 14 | PT. Gading Development Tbk. | GAMA | 0,005691125 |
| 15 | PT. Gowa Makassar Dev. Tbk | GMTD | 0,02235625 |
| 16 | PT. Greenwood Sejahtera Tbk. | GWSA | 0,009737724 |
| 17 | PT. Jaya Real Property Tbk. | JRPT | 0,002840252 |
| 18 | PT. Kawasan Industri Jababeka | KIJA | 0,004777065 |
| 19 | PT. Eureka Prima Jakarta Tbk | LCGP | -0,028602512 |
| 20 | PT. Lippo Cikarang Tbk. | LPCK | -0,038623493 |
| 21 | PT. Lippo Karawaci Tbk | LPKR | -0,034573232 |
| 22 | PT. Modernland Realty Tbk | MDLN | -0,016193169 |
| 23 | PT. Metropolitan Kentjana Tbk | MKPI | 0,010877709 |
| 24 | PT. Metropolitan Land Tbk | MTLA | 0,026139384 |
| 25 | PT. Hanson International Tbk | MYRX | -0,019265871 |
| 26 | PT. City Retail Development | NIRO | -0,001126486 |
| 27 | PT. Indonesia Prima Property | OMRE | 0,07096371 |
| 28 | PT. Plaza Indonesia Realty Tbk | PLIN | -0,004557206 |
| 29 | PT. Roda Vivatex Tbk | RDTX | 0,016076287 |
| 30 | PT. Pikko Land Development Tbk | RODA | 0,009410082 |
| 31 | PT. Suryamas Dutamakmur Tbk | SMDM | 0,017237915 |
| 32 | PT. Summarecon Agung Tbk | SMRA | -0,013723835 |

Source : 2023 data processing

4.1 Market Return Rate Results

Market return is calculated by measuring the difference between the Property market index in the current month (Property t) and the previous month (Property t-1) then dividing it by the IHSG of the previous month (Property t-1). The results of calculating the Market Rate of Return/E(Rm) during the 2020-2022 period can be seen in table 4.

Table 4. Market Rate of Return (Market Return)

| No | | Period | IHSG | Change | Rm | Percentage |
|----|-------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|------------|
| | Years | Month | Property | | | (%) |
| 1 | | January | 471,849 | -19,084 | -0,0388729 | -3,887 |
| 2 | | February | 473,478 | 1,629 | 0,00345237 | 0,345 |
| 3 | | March | 491,169 | 17,691 | 0,03736393 | 3,736 |
| 4 | | April | 499,238 | 8,069 | 0,01642815 | 1,642 |
| 5 | 2020 | May | 505,124 | 5,886 | 0,01178996 | 1,117 |
| 6 | | June | 544,426 | 39,302 | 0,07780663 | 7,780 |
| 7 | | July | 560,507 | 16,081 | 0,02953753 | 2,953 |
| 8 | | August | 567,021 | 6,514 | 0,01162162 | 1,162 |
| 9 | | September | 565,086 | -1,935 | -0,0034125 | -0,341 |
| 10 | | October | 565,326 | 0,240 | 0,00042471 | 0,042 |
| 11 | | November | 522,727 | -42,599 | -0,0753529 | -7,535 |
| | | | | | | |

| 12 | | December | 517,810 | -4,917 | -0,0094064 | -0,940 |
|----|------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|--------|
| 13 | | January | 521,547 | 3,737 | 0,00721693 | 0,721 |
| 14 | | February | 517,289 | -4,258 | -0,0081641 | -0,816 |
| 15 | | March | 510,358 | -6,931 | -0,0133986 | -1,339 |
| 16 | | April | 505,553 | -4,805 | -0,0094149 | -0,941 |
| 17 | 2021 | May | 491,968 | -13,585 | -0,0268715 | -2,687 |
| 18 | | June | 493,718 | 1,75 | 0,00355714 | 0,355 |
| 19 | | July | 495,360 | 1,642 | 0,00332578 | 0,332 |
| 20 | | August | 511,388 | 16,028 | 0,03235626 | 3,235 |
| 21 | | September | 500,157 | -11,231 | -0,0219617 | -2,196 |
| 22 | | October | 509,452 | 9,295 | 0,01858416 | 1,858 |
| 23 | | November | 489,848 | -19,604 | -0,0384805 | -3,848 |
| 24 | | December | 495,510 | 5,662 | 0,01155868 | 1,155 |
| 25 | | January | 537,986 | 42,476 | 0,08572178 | 8,572 |
| 26 | | February | 545,404 | 7,418 | 0,01378846 | 1,378 |
| 27 | | March | 503,850 | -41,554 | -0,0761893 | -7,618 |
| 28 | | April | 477,257 | -26,593 | -0,0527795 | -5,277 |
| 29 | 2022 | May | 468,437 | -8,82 | -0,0184806 | -1,848 |
| 30 | | June | 434,970 | -33,467 | -0,0714439 | -7,144 |
| 31 | | July | 444,725 | 9,755 | 0,02242683 | 2,242 |
| 32 | | August | 448,745 | 4,02 | 0,00903929 | 0,903 |
| 33 | | September | 422,791 | -25,954 | -0,0578368 | -5,783 |
| 34 | | October | 403,578 | -19,213 | -0,0454432 | -4,544 |
| 35 | | November | 440,471 | 36,893 | 0,09141479 | 9,141 |
| 36 | | December | 447,752 | 7,281 | 0,01653003 | 1,653 |
| | | AMOUNT | | | -0,0635642 | |
| | | E Rm | | | -0,0017655 | |

Source: https://most.co.id (2023 data is processed)

Table 4 above shows that the average Rm has a negative value, namely - 0.0017655, which comes from the total amount of Rm of -0.0635642 divided by the total number of months in the research period, namely 36 months. The lowest market rate of return occurred in November 2020 at - 42,599 points, namely -0.0753529 or -7.535%, which shows that share trading in the Property Index experienced a sluggishness that month. The highest market rate of return occurred in January 2022 at 42,476 points, namely 0.08572178 or 8.572%, which illustrates that the stock trading conditions in the Porperty Index in that month were active.

4.2 Hasil Analisis Risk Free Rate

The Bank Indonesia interest rate is used to calculate the Risk Free Rate of return. This data was taken from the Bank Indonesia website which can be accessed via www.bi.go.id. Table 5 below is BI Rate data for January 2020 - December 2022.

| No. | Year | Date | BI Rate |
|-----|------|--------------------|---------|
| 1 | | January 14, 2020 | 7,25% |
| 2 | | February 18, 2020 | 7,00% |
| 3 | | March 17, 2020 | 6,75% |
| 4 | | April 21, 2020 | 5,50% |
| 5 | 2020 | May 19, 2020 | 5,50% |
| 6 | | June 16, 2020 | 5,25% |
| 7 | | July 21, 2020 | 5,25% |
| 8 | | August 19, 2020 | 5,25% |
| 9 | | September 22, 2020 | 5,00% |

Table 5. BI Rate Data for 2020-2022

| 10 | | October 20, 2020 | 4,75% |
|------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|
| 11 | | November 17, 2020 | 4,75% |
| 12 | | December 15, 2020 | 4,75% |
| 13 | | January 19, 2021 | 4,75% |
| 14 | | February 16, 2021 | 4,75% |
| 15 | | March 16, 2021 | 4,75% |
| 16 | | April 20, 2021 | 4,75% |
| 17 | 2021 | May 18, 2021 | 4,75% |
| 18 | | June 15, 2021 | 4,75% |
| 19 | | July 20, 2021 | 4,75% |
| 20 | | August 22, 2021 | 4,50% |
| 21 | | September 22, 2021 | 4,25% |
| 22 | | October 19, 2021 | 4,25% |
| 23 | | November 16, 2021 | 4,25% |
| 24 | | December 14, 2021 | 4,25% |
| 25 | | January 18, 2022 | 4,25% |
| 26 | | February 15, 2022 | 4,25% |
| 27 | | March 22, 2022 | 4,25% |
| 28 | | April 19, 2022 | 4,25% |
| 29 | | May 17, 2022 | 4,50% |
| 30 | | May 30, 2022 | 4,75% |
| 31 | 2022 | June 29, 2022 | 5,25% |
| 32 | | July 19, 2022 | 5,25% |
| 33 | | August 15, 2022 | 5,50% |
| 34 | | September 27, 2022 | 5,75% |
| 35 | | October 23, 2022 | 5,75% |
| 36 | | November 15, 2022 | 6,00% |
| 37 | | December 20, 2022 | 6,00% |
| Aver | age BI Rate 2020-2022 | | 5,07% |

Source: www.bi.go.id

This data can be interpreted as meaning that if investors invest their funds in the money market in January 2020, the profit obtained by investors will be 7.25% per year with 0% risk. The profits obtained are definitely received by investors because saving money in SBI or deposits does not contain risk.

4.3 Results of Systematic Risk Analysis of Each Individual Stock Stock Beta (βi)

Beta (β) is the systematic risk inherent in a stock. Beta shows the relationship between the rate of return of a stock and the rate of return of the market because it is the quotient between the stock covariance and the market variance.

| | j = | , | |
|-----|----------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| No. | Company Name | Kode Saham | Bi |
| 1 | PT. Agung Podomoro Land Tbk. | APLN | 1,329327813 |
| 2 | PT. Alam Sutera Realty Tbk. | ASRI | 1,704411801 |
| 3 | PT. Bekasi Fajar Industrial Tbk. | BEST | 1,76998202 |
| 4 | PT. Sentul City Tbk.** | BKSL | 1,8246761 |
| 5 | PT. Bumi Serpong Damai Tbk. | BSDE | 1,381109638 |
| 6 | PT. Cowell Development Tbk. | COWL | 1,003891929 |
| 7 | PT. Ciputra Development Tbk. | CTRA | 1,340922031 |
| 8 | PT. Duta Anggada Realty Tbk. | DART | 0,511033682 |
| 9 | PT. Intiland Development Tbk. | DILD | 0,129950363 |
| 10 | PT. Duta Pertiwi Tbk. | DUTI | -0,127096358 |
| | | | |

| | Average | | 0,613909938 |
|----|------------------------------------|------|--------------|
| | Amount | | 19,64511802 |
| 32 | PT. Summarecon Agung Tbk. | SMRA | 1,794054273 |
| 31 | PT. Suryamas Dutamakmur Tbk. | SMDM | 0,509191879 |
| 30 | PT. Pikko Land Development Tbk. | RODA | -0,98372639 |
| 29 | PT. Roda Vivatex Tbk. | RDTX | 0,252758047 |
| 28 | PT. Plaza Indonesia Realty Tbk. | PLIN | -0,363919446 |
| 27 | PT. Indonesia Prima Property Tbk. | OMRE | 1,627059338 |
| 26 | PT. City Retail Development Tbk. | NIRO | 0,464065762 |
| 25 | PT. Hanson International Tbk. | MYRX | 0,138630192 |
| 24 | PT. Metropolitan Land Tbk. | MTLA | 0,258770634 |
| 23 | PT. Metropolitan Kentjana Tbk. | MKPI | 0,487111955 |
| 22 | PT. Modernland Realty Tbk. | MDLN | 0,842930252 |
| 21 | PT. Lippo Karawaci Tbk | LPKR | 1,389443919 |
| 20 | PT. Lippo Cikarang Tbk. | LPCK | 1,566700875 |
| 19 | PT. Eureka Prima Jakarta Tbk.* | LCGP | -1,02706066 |
| 18 | PT. Kawasan Industri Jababeka Tbk. | KIJA | 0,749069184 |
| 17 | PT. Jaya Real Property Tbk. | JRPT | 0,51201415 |
| 16 | PT. Greenwood Sejahtera Tbk. | GWSA | 0,457844211 |
| 15 | PT. Gowa Makassar Development Tbk. | GMTD | -0,585881012 |
| 14 | PT. Gading Development Tbk. | GAMA | -0,284388919 |
| 13 | PT. Fortune Mate Indonesia Tbk | FMII | 0,677163872 |
| 12 | PT. Megapolitan Development | EMDE | 0,217689077 |
| 11 | PT. Bakrieland Development Tbk. | ELTY | 0,077387808 |
| | | | |

Source: 2023 data is processed

Information (*) : Lowest Systematic Risk

(**) : Highest Systematic Risk

Based on table 6, the calculation results show that the average β i is less than 1 (0.6139 < 1) so that in general the 32 company shares used as research samples have a rather low systematic risk and tend to be less active in responding to changes in market prices. The average high and low β i is inversely proportional to the level of stock returns. The higher the value of β i, the smaller the expected level of stock return and vice versa, the lower β i, the greater the expected level of stock return.

4.4 Expected Rate of Return Analysis Results [ERi]

The expected rate of return [E(Ri)] is the amount of profit expected by investors from their stock investments. The CAPM method itself is used to calculate the expected rate of return using the variables risk-free rate of return (Rf), average market rate of return [E(Rm)], and also the systematic risk of each stock. The results of calculating the expected rate of return for 32 company shares can be seen in table 7.

| | Table 7. List of Expected Rates of Return | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--------|-----------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| No. | Stock Code | Rf | Bi | E(Rm) | E(Rm) – Rf | βi* [E(Rm)- Rf] | E(Ri) |
| 1 | APLN | 0,0507 | 1,3293278 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,06505 | -0,01435 |
| 2 | ASRI | 0,0507 | 1,7044118 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0834045 | - 0,0327045 |
| 3 | BEST | 0,0507 | 1,769982 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0866132 | - 0,0359132 |
| 4 | BKSL* | 0,0507 | 1,8246761 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0892896 | -0,0385896 |
| 5 | BSDE | 0,0507 | 1,3811096 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0675839 | -0,0168839 |
| 6 | COWL | 0,0507 | 1,0038919 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0491249 | 0,0015751 |
| 7 | CTRA | 0,0507 | 1,340922 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0656173 | -0,0149173 |
| 8 | DART | 0,0507 | 0,5110337 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0250072 | 0,0256928 |

Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan West Science

| Avera | ige | | | | | | 0,0206586 |
|-------|--------|--------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Amo | unt | | | | | | 0,661076 |
| 32 | SMRA | 0,0507 | 1,7940543 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0877911 | -0,0370911 |
| 31 | SMDM | 0,0507 | 0,5091919 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0249171 | 0,0257829 |
| 30 | RODA | 0,0507 | -0,9837264 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | -0,0481382 | 0,0988382 |
| 29 | RDTX | 0,0507 | 0,252758 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0123686 | 0,0383314 |
| 28 | PLIN | 0,0507 | -0,3639194 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | -0,0178082 | 0,0685082 |
| 27 | OMRE | 0.0507 | 1.6270593 | -0.0017655 | 0.0489345 | 0.0796193 | -0.0289193 |
| 26 | NIRO | 0.0507 | 0.4640658 | -0.0017655 | 0.0489345 | 0.0227088 | 0.0279912 |
| 25 | MYRX | 0.0507 | 0.1386302 | -0.0017655 | 0.0489345 | 0.0067838 | 0.0439162 |
| 24 | MTLA | 0,0507 | 0,2587706 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0126628 | 0,0380372 |
| 23 | MKPI | 0,0507 | 0,487112 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0238366 | 0,0268634 |
| 22 | MDLN | 0,0507 | 0,8429303 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0412484 | 0,0094516 |
| 21 | LPKR | 0,0507 | 1,3894439 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0679917 | 0,0172917 |
| 20 | LPCK | 0,0507 | 1,5667009 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0766657 | -0,0259657 |
| 19 | LCGP** | 0,0507 | -1,0270607 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | -0,0502587 | 0,1009587 |
| 18 | KIJA | 0,0507 | 0,7490692 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0366553 | 0,0140447 |
| 17 | JRPT | 0,0507 | 0,5120142 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0250552 | 0,0256448 |
| 16 | GWSA | 0,0507 | 0,4578442 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0224044 | 0,0282956 |
| 15 | GMTD | 0,0507 | -0,585881 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | -0,0286698 | 0,0793698 |
| 14 | GAMA | 0,0507 | -0,2843889 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | -0,0139164 | 0,0646164 |
| 13 | FMII | 0,0507 | 0,6771639 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0331367 | 0,0175633 |
| 12 | EMDE | 0,0507 | 0,2176891 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0106525 | 0,0400475 |
| 11 | ELTY | 0,0507 | 0,0773878 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0037869 | 0,0469131 |
| 10 | DUTI | 0,0507 | -0,1270964 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | -0,0062194 | 0,0569194 |
| 9 | DILD | 0,0507 | 0,1299504 | -0,0017655 | 0,0489345 | 0,0063591 | 0,0443409 |
| _ | | | | | | | |

Source : 2023 data is processed

| Information | (*) | : | Lowest Expected Return |
|-------------|------|---|-------------------------|
| | (**) | : | Highest Expected Return |

Based on Table 7, the average expected stock return is 0.661076. The figure 0.661076 was obtained by dividing the total expected rate of return of 0.0206586 by the number of shares in the property and real estate subsector companies used as the research sample, namely 32 shares.

4.5 Graphic Depiction of Security Market Line (SML)

Security Market Line (SML) or securities market line (GPS) is a graphic depiction of the CAPM model. SML shows the relationship between the amount of systematic risk (Stock Beta) and the expected rate of return/E (Ri). Below is a graphic image of the SML of the 32 company shares used as research samples.



Source : 2023 data is processed

57

Figure 2. Chart Security Market Line (SML)

Based on Figure 2, it can be seen that the greater the systematic risk/beta (β), the smaller the expected return [E(Ri)]. This shows that there is a unidirectional relationship between beta and [E(Ri)]. Figure 4 also shows that when the beta value is 1, [E(Ri)] shows 0.00500, exceeding the average expected market return ERm of -0.0017655. It is hoped that the individual securities of the Property Index will get a greater expected return compared to the expected return of the market portfolio.

4.6 Efficient Stock Grouping and Investment Decisions

Efficient stocks are stocks with an individual rate of return greater than the expected rate of return [(Ri) > E(Ri)]. Inefficient stocks are stocks with an individual rate of return smaller than the expected rate of return [(Ri) < E(Ri)]. Efficient stocks when viewed on the SML chart are located above the SML line. To make it clearer, below is a picture of efficient stocks and inefficient stocks seen from the SML graph:



Source : 2023 data is processed Figure 3. Investment Eligibility Criteria (Eligible and Not Eligible)

| No | Stock Code | Ri | E (Ri) | Difference | Eligibility Criteria |
|----|------------|--------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | | | | between Ri and | |
| | | | | E (Ri) | |
| 1 | APLN | -0,017252358 | -0,01435 | 0,0029024 | Not feasible |
| 2 | ASRI | 0,00108453 | -0,0327045 | -0,033789 | Worthy |
| 3 | BEST | -0,002358718 | -0,0359132 | -0,0335545 | Worthy |
| 4 | BKSL | 0,029485556 | -0,0385896 | -0,0680752 | Worthy |
| 5 | BSDE | -0,007052821 | -0,0168839 | -0,0098311 | Worthy |
| 6 | COWL | 0,000702343 | 0,0015751 | 0,0008728 | Not feasible |
| 7 | CTRA | -0,006352682 | -0,0149173 | -0,0085646 | Worthy |
| 8 | DART | -0,009377302 | 0,0256928 | 0,0350701 | Not feasible |
| 9 | DILD | -0,010458235 | 0,0443409 | 0,0547991 | Not feasible |
| 10 | DUTI | -0,002401693 | 0,0569194 | 0,0593211 | Not feasible |
| 11 | ELTY | 0,002906602 | 0,0469131 | 0,0440065 | Not feasible |
| 12 | EMDE | 0,020161754 | 0,0400475 | 0,0198857 | Not feasible |
| 13 | FMII | 0,006704258 | 0,0175633 | 0,010859 | Not feasible |
| 14 | GAMA | 0,005691125 | 0,0646164 | 0,0589253 | Not feasible |
| 15 | GMTD | 0,02235625 | 0,0793698 | 0,0570136 | Not feasible |
| 16 | GWSA | 0,009737724 | 0,0282956 | 0,0185579 | Not feasible |
| 17 | JRPT | 0,002840252 | 0,0256448 | 0,0228045 | Not feasible |
| 18 | KIJA | 0,004777065 | 0,0140447 | 0,0092676 | Not feasible |
| | | | | | |

Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan West Science

| 19 | LCGP | -0,028602512 | 0,1009587 | 0,1295612 | Not feasible |
|----|------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| 20 | LPCK | -0,038623493 | -0,0259657 | 0,0126578 | Not feasible |
| 21 | LPKR | -0,034573232 | -0,0172917 | 0,0172815 | Not feasible |
| 22 | MDLN | -0,016193169 | 0,0094516 | 0,0256448 | Not feasible |
| 23 | MKPI | 0,010877709 | 0,0268634 | 0,0159857 | Not feasible |
| 24 | MTLA | 0,026139384 | 0,0380372 | 0,0118978 | Not feasible |
| 25 | MYRX | -0,019265871 | 0,0439162 | 0,0631821 | Not feasible |
| 26 | NIRO | -0,001126486 | 0,0279912 | 0,0291177 | Not feasible |
| 27 | OMRE | 0,07096371 | -0,0289193 | -0,099883 | Worthy |
| 28 | PLIN | -0,004557206 | 0,0685082 | 0,0730654 | Not feasible |
| 29 | RDTX | 0,016076287 | 0,0383314 | 0,0222551 | Not feasible |
| 30 | RODA | 0,009410082 | 0,0988382 | 0,0894281 | Not feasible |
| 31 | SMDM | 0,017237915 | 0,0257829 | 0,008545 | Not feasible |
| 32 | SMRA | -0,013723835 | -0,0370911 | -0,0233673 | Worthy |

Source : 2023 data is processed

Based on Table 8 above, there are 7 shares of property and real estate companies that are eligible and 25 shares of companies that are not eligible. Investment decisions made on decent/good shares are considering buying these shares, and investment decisions made on unfit/not good shares are considering selling these shares.

Based on data analysis, there is a non-linear relationship between systematic risk and the expected level of stock returns. For example, PT shares. Eureka Prima Jakarta Tbk. (LCGP) has the lowest Stock Beta (β i), namely -1.02706066 and the highest expected return E (Ri) of 0.1009587, which indicates that this stock has little risk, tends to be passive and is less sensitive to changes in market prices. Meanwhile, shares of PT. Sentul City Tbk. (BKSL) has the highest β i, namely 1.8246761 and shows the lowest E(Ri) of -0.038589613, which shows that this stock has a large risk, is very active and is very sensitive to changes in market prices.

5. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of the research and data analysis, it can be concluded that out of the 32 samples examined, eight shares of property and real estate companies are viable options, while twenty-four shares of other companies are not. The Ri value of these eligible shares is greater than E(Ri) or [Ri > E(Ri)]. Investors are required to make the investment decision of purchasing these securities. The Ri value of these unsuitable shares is less than E(Ri) or [Ri < E(Ri)]. Prior to a decline in price, investors are obligated to sell their shares as an investment decision.

Based on the research that has been carried out, the suggestions that can be given by researchers are: This research is expected to be used as a reference and additional information for investors and potential investors who will invest in shares. It is very important for investors to invest excess funds in efficient shares so that the risks they face can be minimized properly, so that the investor's goal of getting the expected return can be achieved.

REFERENCES

- Aprillia, Artika A., Handayani, Siti R. and Raden R. Hidayat. 2016. "Investment Decision Analysis Based on Stock Price Assessments (Study Using Fundamental Analysis with the PER Approach on Mining Sector Stocks Listed on the IDX for the 2012-2014 Period)". Malang: Journal of Business Administration. Journal. Discourse Vol. 32, No. 1:58-65.
- Arifin, Zaenal. 2005. Financial Theory and Capital Markets. Yogyakarta: Ekonisia. Brigham, Eugene F. and Houston, Joel.F.2001. Basics of Financial Management.

Translation. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Darmadji, Tjiptono and Fakhruddin, Henry M. 2011. Capital Markets in Indonesia Question and Answer Approach. Third Edition. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Diasworo, Ahmad. 2015. "Share Valuation in Pharmaceutical Sector Companies on the IDX for the 2009-2013 period using CAPM". Thesis. Faculty of Economics and Business, Lampung State University (Unpublished).

Din Haidiati Din, Topowijono, Azizah D. Farah, 2016, Application of the capital asset pricing model (CAPM) method as

a basis for making stock investment decisions, Journal of Business Administration (JAB) | Vol. 37 No. 2. Halim, Abdul. 2005. Investment Analysis. First Edition, Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Hartono, Jogiyanto. 2003. Portfolio Theory and Investment Analysis. Third Edition.

Yogyakarta: BPFE-YOGYAKARTA.

Hartono, Jogiyanto. 2010. Portfolio Theory and Investment Analysis, Seventh Edition, Husnan, Suad. 2009. Basics of Portfolio Theory and Securities Analysis. Fourth Edition.

Yogyakarta: AMP YKN.

- Manik, A. Lastiur. 2017. "Analysis of Stock Investment Decisions in Car Manufacturing Companies on the IDX for the 2011-2015 period using CAPM". Thesis. Faculty of Economics and Business, Lampung State University.
- Nasuha, Rizky. 2013. Analysis of the Capital Asset Pricing Model Method in Making Decisions on Stock Investment. Journal of Business Administration (JAB). Brawijaya University.
- Septiana Selly, Hariyanto Dedi, Safitri Heni, 2018, Analysis of the Capital Asset Pricing Model in Stock Investment Decision Making, Productivity Journal 5 Faculty of Economics, Muhammadiyah University Pontianak.
- Sharpe, William F., Alexander, Gordon J., Bailey, Jeffery V. 1997. Investment. Translation.

Jakarta: Prentice Hall.

- Susilo. 2005. Economic and Business Research Methods. Yogyakarta :UPP STIM YKPN Yogyakarta.
- Tandelilin, Eduardus. 2010. Portfolio and Investment: Theory and Application. First Edition. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.

Walsh, Ciaran. 2003. Key Management Ratios. Third Edition. Translation. Jakarta.

Weston J. Fred and Copeland, Thomas E. 2004. Financial Management. Volume I. Ninth Edition. Translation. Jakarta: Binarupa Aksara.